

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,922	02/02/2004	George Gonzalez	GONZAL-42510	1679	
26252	7590 04/12/2006		EXAM	INER	
KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP 6320 CANOGA AVENUE SUITE 1650			BERTRAM, ERIC D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WOODLANI	HILLS, CA 91367		3766		
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/200	DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astis a Commence	10/770,922	GONZALEZ, GEORGE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric D. Bertram	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I, directed to a combined test comprising a motor test, shown in figure 3; Species II, directed to a combined test comprising a muscle lengthening test, shown in figure 9; Species III, directed to a combined test comprising a sensory test, shown in figure 4; Species IV, directed to a combined test comprising a cranial nerve test, shown in figure 5; Species V, directed to a combined test comprising a cognitive test, shown in figure 8; Species VI, directed to a combined test comprising an autonomic test, shown in figure 6; Species VII, directed to a combined test comprising a reflex test, shown in figure 7. The species are independent or distinct because the claims do not overlap in scope and as such would require divergent searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from this group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species A, directed to a therapeutic device comprising a light generating device; Species B, directed to a therapeutic device comprising an electrical stimulation device; Species C, directed to a therapeutic device comprising a pressure inducing device; Species D, directed to a therapeutic device comprising a temperature varying device; Species E, directed to a therapeutic device comprising a sound wave generating

Application/Control Number: 10/770,922

Art Unit: 3766

device; Species F, directed to a therapeutic device comprising a vibration generating device; Species G, directed to a therapeutic device comprising a magnetic flux generating device.

- 3. If applicant elects to prosecute Species A, then the application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sub-species: Sub-species i, directed to a light generating device comprising a laser; Sub-species ii, directed to a light generating device comprising a light emitting diode.
- 4. The species are independent or distinct because the claims do not overlap in scope and as such would require divergent searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from this group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 6, 19, 24, 30, 35, 40 and 45 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that are elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/770,922

Art Unit: 3766

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D. Bertram whose telephone number is 571-272-3446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 9-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/770,922

Art Unit: 3766

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E. Pezzuto Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766

Eric D. Bertram Examiner Art Unit 3766

**EDB**